Case 3:06-cv-02474-CRB Document 12 Filed 03/01/07 Page 1 of 3

1	Christine DeSue		
2	Walter J. Leger, Jr. Franklin G. Shaw		
3	Leger, Shaw & DeSue 600 Carondelet St.		
4	9 th Floor		
5	New Orleans, LA 70130 504-588-9043		
6	fax 504-588-9980		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,		
8	ROBERT HUGHES, SR. and SHARON SUMLER O/B/O BETTY SUMLER		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12			
13			
14	IN RE: BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING SALES PRACTICES AND	MDL NO. 1699 District Judge: Charles R. Breyer	
15	PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION		
16	This document relates to:		
17	Mark Beard, et al.	STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE	
18	Plaintiffs,	ONLY AS TO THE INDIVIDUAL CASE OF ROBERT HUGHES, SR. and	
19	VS.	SHARON SUMLER O/B/O BETTY SUMLER FILED UNDER CASE NO. 06-	
20	Pfizer, Inc., et al. Defendants.	2474 CRB INVOLVING MULTIPLE PLAINTIFFS	
21	Case No. 06-2474 CRB		
22			
23	STIPULATION AND ORDER OF PARTIAL DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE		
24	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the following specific		
25	Plaintiffs Robert Hughes, Sr. and Sharon Sumler /o/b/o Betty Sumler ("Plaintiffs") and		
26	Defendants Pfizer, Inc., Pharmacia Corporation and G.D.Searle, L.L.C. ("Pfizer"), pursuant to		
27	Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1), to a dismissal without prejudice of the following Plaintiffs' claims		
28	which were filed in a multi-plaintiff Complaint against Defendant:		
	-1-	-	

2. Sharon Sumler o/b/o Betty Sumler

This Stipulation and Order, however, is a partial dismissal in that it does not affect any claims, counterclaims and issues by and between Defendants and the remaining Plaintiffs.

Specifically, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs, Robert Hughes, Sr. and Sharon Sumler o/b/o Betty Sumler dismiss their individual causes of action [which were filed within the Multi-Plaintiff Complaint] without prejudice.
- 2. This dismissal is voluntary and not on the merits. Plaintiffs certify that no other causes of action based on these claims have been previously dismissed in any Federal or State court.
- 3. Plaintiffs agree that in the event they re-file a lawsuit against Pfizer that contains claims relating to Bextra® and Celebrex®, such lawsuit will be filed in a United States District Court;
- 4. Plaintiffs further agree that in the event they re-file such lawsuit, any discovery that has taken place or will take place in *In Re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation* (MDL-1699), the MDL proceeding that has been established in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and that is not specific to a particular plaintiff, can be used in any such lawsuit re-filed by Plaintiffs, as though Plaintiffs had been a party and had an opportunity to participate in that discovery.
 - 5. Each party will bear their own fees, expenses and costs.

Plaintiffs and Defendants agree to the above-stated conditions and the specific Plaintiffs wish to dismiss their claims in the instant lawsuit without prejudice, subject to the conditions stated above.

WHEREFORE, the parties hereto stipulate to the dismissal of the claim of Plaintiffs in the above-styled lawsuit without prejudice to re-filing, subject to the conditions stated above.

1	
2	
3	
4	DATED: February 13, 2007.
5	By:/s/ Christine L. DeSue
6	Christine DeSue Walter J. Leger, Jr.
7	Franklin G. Šhaw Leger, Shaw & DeSue
8	600 Carondelet Street, 9 th Floor New Orleans, LA 70130
9	Telephone: (504) 588-9043 Facsimile: (504) 588-9980
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
11	Robert Hughes, Sr. and Sharon Sumler o/b/o Betty Sumler
12	DATED E-1
13	DATED: February $(1, 2007)$
14	By: Thanh M. Fordon
15	/ Stuart M. Gordon Gordon & Rees, L.L.P.
16	275 Battery St., Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111
17	Telephone: (415) 986-6900 Telecopier: (415) 262-3801
18	Attorneys for Defendants
19	STATES DISTRICT CO.
20	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED
22	
23	February 26, 2007 Judge Charles R. Breyer Solution
24	February 26, 2007 Judge Char-
25	
26	THEN DISTRICT OF CE
27	
28	
	-3-